

# The Central Valuations Monad

Xiaodong Jia, Michael Mislove and Vladimir Zamdzhiev

CALCO 2021  
31 August 2021

## Motivation

- Probability and recursion are important computational effects.
- *Domain Theory* – staple of denotational study of recursion.
- Adding probability to domain-theoretic approach has been difficult.
- Canonical approach: Kleisli category of the *valuations monad*  $\mathcal{V}$  [1].
- Two major open problems unsolved since 1989.
- **Related work:** probabilistic coherence spaces, quasi-Borel spaces, cones, etc.
- **Recent work:** Three commutative submonads of  $\mathcal{V}$ , soundness and (strong) adequacy for *discrete* probabilistic choice [2].
- **This talk:** A commutative submonad of  $\mathcal{V}$  for (continuous?) probabilistic choice.

---

[1] Jones and Plotkin. "*A probabilistic powerdomain of evaluations.*" **LICS 1989.**

[2] Jia, Lindenhovius, Mislove, Z. "*Commutative Monads for Probabilistic Programming Languages*" **LICS 2021.**

## Background: Domain Theory (Dcpo's)

- Domain theory provides an order-theoretic view of computation and recursion.
- Two main classes of objects in domain theory: *dcpo's* and *domains*.
- A nonempty subset  $A$  of a *poset*  $D$  is *directed* if each pair of elements in  $A$  has an upper bound in  $A$ .
- A *directed-complete partial order* (dcpo) is a poset in which every directed subset  $A$  has a supremum  $\sup A$ .
  - **Example:** the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  is a dcpo in the usual ordering.
  - **Example:** the open sets of a topological space in the inclusion order.
- A function  $f : D \rightarrow E$  between two dcpo's is *Scott-continuous* if it is monotone and preserves suprema of directed subsets.
- The category **DCPO** of dcpo's and Scott-continuous functions is *cartesian closed*, complete and cocomplete.
- The category **DCPO** is very important for denotational semantics.

## Background: Domain Theory (Domains)

- A *domain*, also known as a *continuous* dcpo, is a dcpo equipped with a notion of approximation (details omitted).
- Domains may be thought of as very well-behaved dcpo's.
- The category of domains and Scott-continuous maps is denoted by **DOM**.
- **Problem:** The category **DOM** is *not* cartesian closed.

## Background: Domain Theory (Scott Topology)

- The order on a dcpo  $X$  induces a canonical topology  $\sigma X$ , called the *Scott-topology*.
- The *Scott topology*  $\sigma D$  on a dcpo  $D$  consists of the upper subsets  $U = \uparrow U = \{x \in D \mid \exists u \in U. u \leq x\}$  that are *inaccessible by directed suprema*: i.e., if  $A \subseteq D$  is directed and  $\sup A \in U$ , then  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ .
- The topological space  $(D, \sigma D)$  is also written as  $\Sigma D$ .
- $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is Scott-continuous iff  $f$  is continuous w.r.t.  $\Sigma X$  and  $\Sigma Y$ .

## Background: Probability and Recursion

- How to talk about recursion *and* probability?
- Why not just take  $\text{Meas}(X)$ , the set of subprobability measures on the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra induced by the Scott-topology of a dcpo  $X$ ?
- Because it is unclear how to extend the assignment  $\text{Meas}(-)$  to a monad over **DCPO**.
- A monadic semantics over **DCPO** seems very unlikely with this approach.

## Background: Valuations

- The domain-theoretic approach to probability is based on valuations [1].
- A *subprobability valuation* on a dcpo  $X$  is a Scott-continuous map  $\nu : \sigma X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , which is strict ( $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$ ) and modular ( $\nu(U) + \nu(V) = \nu(U \cup V) + \nu(U \cap V)$ ).
  - **Example:** The always-zero valuation  $\mathbf{0}$ .
  - **Example:** For  $x \in X$ ,  $\delta_x$  is defined as  $\delta_x(U) = 1$  if  $x \in U$  and  $\delta_x(U) = 0$  otherwise.
- The set of subprobability valuations on a dcpo  $X$ , denoted  $\mathcal{V}X$ , is a *pointed dcpo* in the stochastic order:  $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2$  iff  $\forall U \in \sigma X. \nu_1(U) \leq \nu_2(U)$ .
- **Remark:** Valuations are similar to Borel measures and in some cases coincide.

---

[1] Jones and Plotkin. "A probabilistic powerdomain of evaluations." **LICS 1989**.

## Background: Valuations Monad

- The assignment  $\mathcal{V}(-)$  can be equipped with the structure of a *strong monad*.
- Given  $h : D \rightarrow E$ , define  $\mathcal{V}(h) : \mathcal{V}D \rightarrow \mathcal{V}E :: \nu \mapsto \lambda U. \nu(h^{-1}(U))$ .
- The unit of  $\mathcal{V}$  is given by  $\eta_D : D \rightarrow \mathcal{V}D :: x \mapsto \delta_x$ .
- A notion of integration can be defined. Given  $\nu \in \mathcal{V}X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  Scott-continuous, we can define the *integral of  $f$  against  $\nu$*  by:

$$\int_{x \in X} f(x) d\nu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^1 \nu(f^{-1}((t, 1])) dt.$$

- The multiplication is given by  $\mu_D : \mathcal{V}\mathcal{V}D \rightarrow \mathcal{V}D :: \varpi \mapsto \lambda U. \int_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}D} \nu(U) d\varpi$ .
- The strength is  $\tau_{DE} : D \times \mathcal{V}E \rightarrow \mathcal{V}(D \times E) :: (x, \nu) \mapsto \lambda U. \int_{y \in E} \chi_U(x, y) d\nu$ .

## Background: Problems of the Valuations Monad

- The monad  $\mathcal{V}$  is *strong* on **DCPO** and *commutative* on **DOM** [3].
- Two major open problems since 1989:
  - **Problem:** Is  $\mathcal{V}$  a commutative monad on **DCPO**?
  - **Problem (Jung-Tix):** Find a cartesian closed category of *domains* on which  $\mathcal{V}$  is a commutative monad.
- Having a domain-theoretic model with a *commutative valuations monad* over a *cartesian closed category* is important for the semantics. Do they exist?
- Yes [2]. We use *topological methods* to construct *commutative submonads* of  $\mathcal{V}$ .
  - We have shown our monads are suitable for *discrete* probabilistic choice.

---

[2] Jia, Lindenhovius, Mislove, Z. "Commutative Monads for Probabilistic Programming Languages" **LICS 2021**.

[3] Jones. *Probabilistic non-determinism*. PhD Thesis, University of Edinburgh, 1990.

## Background: Discrete vs Continuous Probabilistic Choice

- A programming language with discrete probabilistic choice:
  - A term  $M$  can reduce to *countably* many values.
  - $P(M \rightarrow_* V)$  is the probability that term  $M$  reduces to value  $V$  (operational notion).
  - In the denotational semantics, *strong adequacy* is the statement:

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \sum_{V \in \text{Val}(M)} P(M \rightarrow_* V) \llbracket V \rrbracket \quad (1.1)$$

- A programming language with continuous probabilistic choice:
  - A term  $M$  can reduce to *uncountably* many values.
  - $P(M \rightarrow_* -)$  is a *subprobability measure* determined by the operational semantics.
  - In the denotational semantics, *strong adequacy* is the statement:

$$\llbracket M \rrbracket = \int_{V \in \text{Val}(M)} \llbracket V \rrbracket d P(M \rightarrow_* V) \quad (1.2)$$

- Our LICS'21 monads are strongly adequate for discrete probabilistic choice.

Fubini  $\iff$  Commutativity of  $\mathcal{V}$ 

- Commutativity of the monad  $\mathcal{V}$  is equivalent to showing the Fubini-style equation

$$\int_{x \in D} \int_{y \in E} \chi_U(x, y) d\xi d\nu = \int_{y \in E} \int_{x \in D} \chi_U(x, y) d\nu d\xi$$

for dcpo's  $D$  and  $E$ , for  $U \in \sigma(D \times E)$  and for  $\nu \in \mathcal{V}D, \xi \in \mathcal{V}E$ .

- This equation is known to hold if  $D$  or  $E$  is a domain.
  - This is why  $\mathcal{V}$  is commutative on **DOM**.
- This equation is known to hold if  $\nu$  or  $\xi$  is a *point-continuous* valuation.
  - This is why our monad  $\mathcal{P}$  (LICS'21) is a commutative submonad of  $\mathcal{V}$ .
- We use *topological methods* to define and show that our LICS'21 monads are commutative submonads of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## The Central Valuations Monad

- The main idea behind our new commutative submonad is *algebraic*.
  - Recall that the *centre* of a group is always an abelian subgroup.
  - Recall that the *centre* of a premonoidal category is always a monoidal subcategory.
- **Definition:** A subprobability valuation  $\nu$  on a dcpo  $D$  is called a *central valuation* if for any dcpo  $E$ , any valuation  $\mu$  on  $E$ , and any Scott-continuous function  $h: D \times E \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , we have

$$\int_{x \in D} \int_{y \in E} h(x, y) d\mu d\nu = \int_{y \in E} \int_{x \in D} h(x, y) d\nu d\mu.$$

- We write  $\mathcal{Z}D$  for the set of all central valuations on a dcpo  $D$ .
- **Theorem:** The assignment  $\mathcal{Z}(-)$  extends to a commutative monad over the category **DCPO** when equipped with the (co)restricted monad operations of  $\mathcal{V}$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a commutative submonad of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## How large is the Central Valuations Monad?

- All of our monads from [2] are submonads of  $\mathcal{Z}$ . For every dcpo  $D$  :

$$SD \subseteq MD \subseteq WD \subseteq PD \subseteq \mathcal{Z}D \subseteq \mathcal{V}D.$$

- $\mathcal{Z}$  is large enough for *discrete* probabilistic choice.
- $\mathcal{Z}$  is the largest commutative submonad of  $\mathcal{V}$  known so far.
- $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{V}$  iff  $\mathcal{V}$  is commutative on **DCPO** (open problem for 32 years).
- **Theorem:** Let  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow D$  be a lower semi-continuous map into a dcpo  $D$ . If  $\nu$  is any valuation on  $[0, 1]$ , then  $f_*(\nu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lambda O \in \sigma D. \nu(f^{-1}(O))$  is in  $\mathcal{Z}D$ .
  - We have not been able to prove this theorem for  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{W}$  or  $\mathcal{P}$ .
- **Work-in-progress:** Is  $\mathcal{Z}$  large enough for *continuous* probabilistic choice?

---

[2] Jia, Lindenhovius, Mislove, Z. **LICS 2021**.

Thank you for your attention!