

Similarity quotients as final coalgebras

Paul Blain Levy

University of Birmingham

February 3, 2010

1 Examples

2 General Theory

We study the following examples:

- 1 bisimilarity
- 2 bisimilarity and similarity together
- 3 similarity
- 4 upper similarity
- 5 intersection of lower and upper similarity
- 6 2-nested similarity

We study the following examples:

- 1 bisimilarity
- 2 bisimilarity and similarity together
- 3 similarity
- 4 upper similarity
- 5 intersection of lower and upper similarity
- 6 2-nested similarity

In each case we see

- how to use a final coalgebra
- how to construct a final coalgebra.

Bisimilarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Fix a countable set Act of labels.

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system** is an F -coalgebra.

Bisimilarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Fix a countable set Act of labels.

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system** is an F -coalgebra.

Let A be a final F -coalgebra, and σ_B the anamorphism from B .

Bisimilarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Fix a countable set Act of labels.

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system** is an F -coalgebra.

Let A be a final F -coalgebra, and σ_B the anamorphism from B .

Theorem: characterizing bisimilarity

$b \in B$ is bisimilar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_B b = \sigma_C c$.

Bisimilarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Fix a countable set Act of labels.

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system** is an F -coalgebra.

Let A be a final F -coalgebra, and σ_B the anamorphism from B .

Theorem: characterizing bisimilarity

$b \in B$ is bisimilar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_B b = \sigma_C c$.

Theorem: no junk

Every element of A is of the form $\sigma_B b$.

Bisimilarity: Constructing A Final Coalgebra

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

Suppose A is a transition system that is **big enough**

i.e. every $b \in B$ is bisimilar to some $a \in A$.

Then A modulo bisimilarity (with behaviour map chosen to make $A \longrightarrow A/\approx$ a homomorphism) is a final F -coalgebra.

Bisimilarity: Constructing A Final Coalgebra

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

Suppose A is a transition system that is **big enough**

i.e. every $b \in B$ is bisimilar to some $a \in A$.

Then A modulo bisimilarity (with behaviour map chosen to make $A \longrightarrow A/\approx$ a homomorphism) is a final F -coalgebra.

Example of a big enough transition system

$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the disjoint union of all transition systems on initial segments of } \mathbb{N}$.
It's big enough because every (B, b) has countably many successors.

Bisimilarity: Constructing A Final Coalgebra

Let $F : X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X)$ on **Set**.

Suppose A is a transition system that is **big enough**

i.e. every $b \in B$ is bisimilar to some $a \in A$.

Then A modulo bisimilarity (with behaviour map chosen to make $A \longrightarrow A/\approx$ a homomorphism) is a final F -coalgebra.

Example of a big enough transition system

$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the disjoint union of all transition systems on initial segments of } \mathbb{N}$.
It's big enough because every (B, b) has countably many successors.

If A isn't big enough, then A/\approx is still subfinal, i.e. parallel morphisms to it are equal.

Bisimilarity and Similarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

where $U \text{Sim}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall x \in U. \exists y \in V. u \mathcal{R} v$.

Bisimilarity and Similarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

where $U \text{Sim}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall x \in U. \exists y \in V. u \mathcal{R} v$.

Let A be a final G -coalgebra.

Bisimilarity and Similarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

where $U \text{Sim}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall x \in U. \exists y \in V. u \mathcal{R} v$.

Let A be a final G -coalgebra.

Any transition system B gives a G -coalgebra ΔB , using the discrete order.

Bisimilarity and Similarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

where $U \text{Sim}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall x \in U. \exists y \in V. u \mathcal{R} v$.

Let A be a final G -coalgebra.

Any transition system B gives a G -coalgebra ΔB , using the discrete order.

Theorem: characterizing bisimilarity and similarity

- $b \in B$ is bisimilar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_{\Delta B} b = \sigma_{\Delta C} c$.
- $b \in B$ is similar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_{\Delta B} b \leq \sigma_{\Delta C} c$

Bisimilarity and Similarity: Using A Final Coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

where $U \text{Sim}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \forall x \in U. \exists y \in V. u \mathcal{R} v$.

Let A be a final G -coalgebra.

Any transition system B gives a G -coalgebra ΔB , using the discrete order.

Theorem: characterizing bisimilarity and similarity

- $b \in B$ is bisimilar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_{\Delta B} b = \sigma_{\Delta C} c$.
- $b \in B$ is similar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_{\Delta B} b \leq \sigma_{\Delta C} c$

Theorem: no junk

Every element of A is of the form $\sigma_{\Delta B} b$.

Bisimilarity and similarity: constructing a final coalgebra (Hughes-Jacobs)

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

Bisimilarity and similarity: constructing a final coalgebra (Hughes-Jacobs)

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

Suppose A is a transition system that is big enough
i.e. every $b \in B$ is bisimilar to some $a \in A$.

Bisimilarity and similarity: constructing a final coalgebra (Hughes-Jacobs)

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$$

Suppose A is a transition system that is big enough
i.e. every $b \in B$ is bisimilar to some $a \in A$.

Then A modulo bisimilarity, preordered by similarity, is a final G -coalgebra.

Quotienting by a preorder

If A is a set with an equivalence relation \sim
then A/\sim is a set consisting of the equivalence classes

$$[a]_{\sim} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid x \sim a\}$$

Quotienting by a preorder

If A is a set with an equivalence relation \sim
then A/\sim is a set consisting of the equivalence classes

$$[a]_{\sim} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid x \sim a\}$$

More generally if A is a set with a preorder \leq
then A/\leq is a poset consisting of the principal lower sets

$$[a]_{\leq} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid x \leq a\}$$

ordered by inclusion.

Quotienting by a preorder

If A is a set with an equivalence relation \sim
then A/\sim is a set consisting of the equivalence classes

$$[a]_{\sim} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid x \sim a\}$$

More generally if A is a set with a preorder \leq
then A/\leq is a poset consisting of the principal lower sets

$$[a]_{\leq} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in A \mid x \leq a\}$$

ordered by inclusion.

So **Poset** is a full reflective subcategory of **Preord**.

$$\mathbf{Poset} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{Preord}$$

Similarity: using a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Similarity: using a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Let A be a final H -coalgebra

Similarity: using a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Let A be a final H -coalgebra

Theorem: characterizing similarity

$b \in B$ is similar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_{\Delta B} b \leq \sigma_{\Delta C} c$.

Similarity: using a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}(\leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Let A be a final H -coalgebra

Theorem: characterizing similarity

$b \in B$ is similar to $c \in C$ iff $\sigma_{\Delta B} b \leq \sigma_{\Delta C} c$.

Theorem: no junk

Every element of A is of the form $\sigma_{\Delta B} b$.

Similarity: constructing a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}((\) \leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Similarity: constructing a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}((\) \leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Suppose A is a transition system that is big enough
i.e. every $b \in B$ is mutually similar to some $a \in A$.

Similarity: constructing a final coalgebra

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping (X, \leq) to $(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\text{Act} \times X), \text{Sim}((\) \leq))$.

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Suppose A is a transition system that is big enough
i.e. every $b \in B$ is mutually similar to some $a \in A$.

Then A modulo similarity is a final H -coalgebra.

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system with divergence** is a coalgebra for $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$.

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system with divergence** is a coalgebra for $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$.

We write $b \uparrow$ to mean that b may diverge.

Lower and Upper Simulations (Ulidowski, Lassen, Pitcher)

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system with divergence** is a coalgebra for $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$.

We write $b \uparrow$ to mean that b may diverge.

Let B and C be two such, and let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq B \times C$ be a relation.

Lower simulation

\mathcal{R} is a **lower simulation** when, for $b \mathcal{R} c$

- $b \xrightarrow{a} b'$ implies there is c' such that $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$ and $b' \mathcal{R} c'$.

Lower and Upper Simulations (Ulidowski, Lassen, Pitcher)

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system with divergence** is a coalgebra for $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$.

We write $b \uparrow$ to mean that b may diverge.

Let B and C be two such, and let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq B \times C$ be a relation.

Lower simulation

\mathcal{R} is a **lower simulation** when, for $b \mathcal{R} c$

- $b \xrightarrow{a} b'$ implies there is c' such that $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$ and $b' \mathcal{R} c'$.

Upper simulation

\mathcal{R} is an **upper simulation** when, for $b \mathcal{R} c$ with $b \uparrow$

- $c \uparrow$
- $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$ implies that there is b' such that $b \xrightarrow{a} b'$ and $b' \mathcal{R} c'$.

Lower and Upper Simulations (Ulidowski, Lassen, Pitcher)

A **countably branching Act-labelled transition system with divergence** is a coalgebra for $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$.

We write $b \uparrow$ to mean that b may diverge.

Let B and C be two such, and let $\mathcal{R} \subseteq B \times C$ be a relation.

Lower simulation

\mathcal{R} is a **lower simulation** when, for $b \mathcal{R} c$

- $b \xrightarrow{a} b'$ implies there is c' such that $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$ and $b' \mathcal{R} c'$.

Upper simulation

\mathcal{R} is an **upper simulation** when, for $b \mathcal{R} c$ with $b \uparrow$

- $c \uparrow$
- $c \xrightarrow{a} c'$ implies that there is b' such that $b \xrightarrow{a} b'$ and $b' \mathcal{R} c'$.

There are many variants.

Upper similarity and final coalgebras

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp}), \text{Upper}(\leq))$.

$$U \text{ Upper}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \perp \notin U \Rightarrow \\ (\perp \notin V \wedge \\ \forall y \in V. \exists x \in U. x \mathcal{R} y)$$

Upper similarity and final coalgebras

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_\perp), \text{Upper}(\leq))$.

$$U \text{ Upper}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \perp \notin U \Rightarrow \\ (\perp \notin V \wedge \\ \forall y \in V. \exists x \in U. x \mathcal{R} y)$$

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Upper similarity and final coalgebras

Let G be the endofunctor on **Preord** mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp}), \text{Upper}(\leq))$.

$$U \text{ Upper}(\mathcal{R}) V \stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} \perp \notin U \Rightarrow \\ (\perp \notin V \wedge \\ \forall y \in V. \exists x \in U. x \mathcal{R} y)$$

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{Poset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{Preord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{Poset}$$

Then

- a final H -coalgebra characterizes upper similarity, with no junk
- a big enough transition system with divergence, modulo upper similarity, gives a final H -coalgebra.

Doubly preordered sets

We are going to study the intersection of lower similarity and upper similarity.

A **doubly preordered set** (X, \leq_l, \leq_u) is a set with two preorders.

Doubly preordered sets

We are going to study the intersection of lower similarity and upper similarity.

A **doubly preordered set** (X, \leq_l, \leq_u) is a set with two preorders.

It is **antisymmetric** when $\leq_l \cap \leq_u$ is a partial order

Doubly preordered sets

We are going to study the intersection of lower similarity and upper similarity.

A **doubly preordered set** (X, \leq_l, \leq_u) is a set with two preorders.

It is **antisymmetric** when $\leq_l \cap \leq_u$ is a partial order

We obtain categories

$$\mathbf{TwoPoset} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{TwoPreord}$$

Lower similarity \cap upper similarity

Let G be the endofunctor on **TwoPreord** mapping (X, \leq_l, \leq_u) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_\perp), \text{Lower}(\leq_l), \text{Upper}(\leq_u))$$

Lower similarity \cap upper similarity

Let G be the endofunctor on **TwoPreord** mapping (X, \leq_l, \leq_u) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_\perp), \text{Lower}(\leq_l), \text{Upper}(\leq_u))$$

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{TwoPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{TwoPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{TwoPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{TwoPoset}$$

Lower similarity \cap upper similarity

Let G be the endofunctor on **TwoPreord** mapping (X, \leq_l, \leq_u) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_\perp), \text{Lower}(\leq_l), \text{Upper}(\leq_u))$$

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{TwoPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{TwoPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{TwoPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{TwoPoset}$$

Then

- a final H -coalgebra characterizes lower and upper similarity, with no junk
- a big enough transition system with divergence, modulo lower similarity \cap upper similarity, gives a final H -coalgebra.

2-nested simulation (Groote and Vaandrager)

Let B and C be transition systems.

A **2-nested simulation** from B to C is a simulation contained in the converse of a simulation.

Equivalently a simulation contained in the converse of similarity.

Equivalently a simulation contained in mutual similarity.

2-nested simulation (Groote and Vaandrager)

Let B and C be transition systems.

A **2-nested simulation** from B to C is a simulation contained in the converse of a simulation.

Equivalently a simulation contained in the converse of similarity.

Equivalently a simulation contained in mutual similarity.

Corresponds to the bisimulation game where the Opponent can swap sides only once.

2-nested simulation (Groote and Vaandrager)

Let B and C be transition systems.

A **2-nested simulation** from B to C is a simulation contained in the converse of a simulation.

Equivalently a simulation contained in the converse of similarity.

Equivalently a simulation contained in mutual similarity.

Corresponds to the bisimulation game where the Opponent can swap sides only once.

Corresponds to modal formulas \diamond^n and $\diamond^n \square^m$.

2-nested preordered sets

A **2-nested preordered set** (X, \leq_1, \leq_2) is a set with two preorders, where $\leq_2 \subseteq \leq_1$.

2-nested preordered sets

A **2-nested preordered set** (X, \leq_1, \leq_2) is a set with two preorders, where $\leq_2 \subseteq \leq_1$.

It is **antisymmetric** when \leq_2 is a partial order.

2-nested preordered sets

A **2-nested preordered set** (X, \leq_1, \leq_2) is a set with two preorders, where $\leq_2 \subseteq \leq_1$.

It is **antisymmetric** when \leq_2 is a partial order.

We obtain categories

$$\mathbf{NestPoset} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{NestPreord}$$

2-nested simulation and final coalgebras

Let G be the endofunctor on **NestPreord** mapping (X, \leq_1, \leq_2) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq_1 \times_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp}), \text{OpSim}(\leq_1), \text{OpSim}(\leq_1) \cap \text{Sim}(\leq_2))$$

2-nested simulation and final coalgebras

Let G be the endofunctor on **NestPreord** mapping (X, \leq_1, \leq_2) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq_{X_0}}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp}), \text{OpSim}(\leq_1), \text{OpSim}(\leq_1) \cap \text{Sim}(\leq_2))$$

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{NestPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{NestPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{NestPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{NestPoset}$$

2-nested simulation and final coalgebras

Let G be the endofunctor on **NestPreord** mapping (X, \leq_1, \leq_2) to

$$(\mathcal{P}^{\leq_1 X_0}((\text{Act} \times X)_{\perp}), \text{OpSim}(\leq_1), \text{OpSim}(\leq_1) \cap \text{Sim}(\leq_2))$$

Let H be the composite

$$\mathbf{NestPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{NestPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{NestPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{NestPoset}$$

Then

- a final H -coalgebra characterizes (the converse of) similarity and 2-nested similarity, with no junk
- a big enough transition system, modulo 2-nested similarity, gives a final H -coalgebra.

Proving these results simultaneously

Instead of having to prove all these results separately,
we want general theorems that they are all instances of.

Proving these results simultaneously

Instead of having to prove all these results separately,
we want general theorems that they are all instances of.
What is the data for our theorems?

The two categories

We want two categories with the same objects:

- a regular category \mathcal{C} of **functions**
- a category \mathcal{A} of **quasi-relations**

The two categories

We want two categories with the same objects:

- a regular category \mathcal{C} of **functions**
- a category \mathcal{A} of **quasi-relations**

Examples with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$

A quasi-relation $A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} B$ is

- 1 a relation
- 2 a pair of relations $(\mathcal{R}_l, \mathcal{R}_u)$
- 3 a pair of relations $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$ with $\mathcal{R}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1$.

The two categories

We want two categories with the same objects:

- a regular category \mathcal{C} of **functions**
- a category \mathcal{A} of **quasi-relations**

Examples with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$

A quasi-relation $A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} B$ is

- 1 a relation
- 2 a pair of relations $(\mathcal{R}_l, \mathcal{R}_u)$
- 3 a pair of relations $(\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2)$ with $\mathcal{R}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{R}_1$.

We write $P(X)$ for the **quasi-predicates** on X , given by a regular fibration on \mathcal{C} , then define

$$\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P(X \times Y)$$

A **quasi-preorder** on X is a quasi-endorelation \leq that is reflexive and transitive.

Quasi-preorders

A **quasi-preorder** on X is a quasi-endorelation \leq that is reflexive and transitive.

\leq is **antisymmetric** when, for each object Y , the induced preorder on $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ is antisymmetric.

(For well-pointed \mathcal{C} : when the preorder on $\mathcal{C}(1, X)$ is antisymmetric.)

A **quasi-preorder** on X is a quasi-endorelation \leq that is reflexive and transitive.

\leq is **antisymmetric** when, for each object Y , the induced preorder on $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ is antisymmetric.

(For well-pointed \mathcal{C} : when the preorder on $\mathcal{C}(1, X)$ is antisymmetric.)

We assume that a preordered object (X, \leq) can be

- quotiented
- augmented by an arbitrary $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} X$.

Quasi-preorders

A **quasi-preorder** on X is a quasi-endorelation \leq that is reflexive and transitive.

\leq is **antisymmetric** when, for each object Y , the induced preorder on $\mathcal{C}(Y, X)$ is antisymmetric.

(For well-pointed \mathcal{C} : when the preorder on $\mathcal{C}(1, X)$ is antisymmetric.)

We assume that a preordered object (X, \leq) can be

- quotiented
- augmented by an arbitrary $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} X$.

We obtain an adjunction

$$\mathbf{QPoset}_{\mathcal{C}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathbf{QPreord}$$

We require an endofunctor F on \mathcal{C} , expressing the behaviour

Examples with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\mathbf{Act} \times X)$$

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\mathbf{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$$

We require an endofunctor F on \mathcal{C} , expressing the behaviour

Examples with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\mathbf{Act} \times X)$$

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\mathbf{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$$

And we need Γ mapping a quasi-relation $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} Y$ to $FX \xrightarrow{\Gamma\mathcal{R}} FY$.

We require an endofunctor F on \mathcal{C} , expressing the behaviour

Examples with $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{Set}$

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}(\mathbf{Act} \times X)$$

$$X \mapsto \mathcal{P}^{\leq \aleph_0}((\mathbf{Act} \times X)_{\perp})$$

And we need Γ mapping a quasi-relation $X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} Y$ to $FX \xrightarrow{\Gamma\mathcal{R}} FY$.

Γ is a **relational extension** of F .

Properties of relational extension (1)

Monotonicity

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'} Y$$

$$\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}' \Rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{R} \subseteq \Gamma \mathcal{R}'$$

Properties of relational extension (1)

Monotonicity

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}'} Y$$

$$\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{R}' \Rightarrow \Gamma \mathcal{R} \subseteq \Gamma \mathcal{R}'$$

Stability (Hughes and Jacobs)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X' & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \end{array}$$

$$\Gamma((f \times g)^{-1} \mathcal{R}) = (Ff \times Fg)^{-1} \mathcal{R}$$

Properties of relational extension (2)

Lax functoriality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\Gamma X} &\subseteq \Gamma \text{id}_X \\ (\Gamma \mathcal{R}); (\Gamma \mathcal{S}) &\subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{S}) \end{aligned}$$

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} Y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} Z$$

Lax functoriality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\Gamma X} &\subseteq \Gamma \text{id}_X \\ (\Gamma \mathcal{R}); (\Gamma \mathcal{S}) &\subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{S}) \end{aligned}$$

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} Y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} Z$$

Hesselink and Thijs required strict preservation of binary composition, lax preservation of identities.

Lax functoriality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_{\Gamma X} &\subseteq \Gamma \text{id}_X \\ (\Gamma \mathcal{R}); (\Gamma \mathcal{S}) &\subseteq \Gamma(\mathcal{R}; \mathcal{S}) \end{aligned}$$

$$X \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} Y \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} Z$$

Hesselink and Thijs required strict preservation of binary composition, lax preservation of identities.

But that excludes the case of 2-nested simulation.

Let (A, ζ) and (B, ζ') be F -coalgebras (“transition systems”).

A **simulation** from (A, ζ) to (B, ζ') is a quasi-relation $A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} B$ such that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq (\zeta \times \zeta')^{-1} \Gamma \mathcal{R}$.

Simulation on transition systems

Let (A, ζ) and (B, ζ') be F -coalgebras (“transition systems”).

A **simulation** from (A, ζ) to (B, ζ') is a quasi-relation $A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} B$ such that $\mathcal{R} \subseteq (\zeta \times \zeta')^{-1} \Gamma \mathcal{R}$.

This property is preserved by composition (and identity), and by pullback.

We define a functor G on $\mathbf{QPreord}$, mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (FX, \Gamma \leq)$.

Ordered Coalgebras

We define a functor G on $\mathbf{QPreord}$, mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (FX, \Gamma \leq)$.

Then an endofunctor H on \mathbf{QPoset} , given by

$$\mathbf{QPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{QPoset}$$

Ordered Coalgebras

We define a functor G on $\mathbf{QPreord}$, mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (FX, \Gamma \leq)$.

Then an endofunctor H on \mathbf{QPoset} , given by

$$\mathbf{QPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{QPoset}$$

The functor $\Delta : \mathbf{coalg}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{coalg}(H)$ maps (X, ζ) to

$$(X, (=X)) \xrightarrow{\zeta} (FX, \Gamma(=FX)) \xrightarrow{P} Q(FX, \Gamma(=FX))$$

Ordered Coalgebras

We define a functor G on $\mathbf{QPreord}$, mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (FX, \Gamma \leq)$.

Then an endofunctor H on \mathbf{QPoset} , given by

$$\mathbf{QPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{QPoset}$$

The functor $\Delta : \mathbf{coalg}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{coalg}(H)$ maps (X, ζ) to

$$(X, (=X)) \xrightarrow{\zeta} (FX, \Gamma(=FX)) \xrightarrow{P} Q(FX, \Gamma(=FX))$$

So H -coalgebras are a generalization of “transition systems” (F -coalgebras).

Ordered Coalgebras

We define a functor G on $\mathbf{QPreord}$, mapping $(X, \leq) \mapsto (FX, \Gamma \leq)$.

Then an endofunctor H on \mathbf{QPoset} , given by

$$\mathbf{QPoset} \xrightarrow{C} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{QPreord} \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbf{QPoset}$$

The functor $\Delta : \mathbf{coalg}(F) \rightarrow \mathbf{coalg}(H)$ maps (X, ζ) to

$$(X, (=X)) \xrightarrow{\zeta} (FX, \Gamma(=FX)) \xrightarrow{P} Q(FX, \Gamma(=FX))$$

So H -coalgebras are a generalization of “transition systems” (F -coalgebras).

We can define a notion of simulation on these too.

Using A Final Coalgebra: The Greatest Simulation

Suppose we have a final H -coalgebra $(X, (\leq), \zeta)$.

Using A Final Coalgebra: The Greatest Simulation

Suppose we have a final H -coalgebra $(X, (\leq), \zeta)$.

Let A and B be H -coalgebras, with anamorphisms a and b respectively.

Using A Final Coalgebra: The Greatest Simulation

Suppose we have a final H -coalgebra $(X, (\leq), \zeta)$.

Let A and B be H -coalgebras, with anamorphisms a and b respectively.

Then $(a \times b)^{-1}(\leq)$ is the greatest simulation from A to B .

Using A Final Coalgebra: No junk

Some categories have the property that all regular epimorphisms split.

Example \mathbf{Set} (Axiom of Choice)

Example \mathbf{Set}^I for any set I

Non-example $\mathbf{Set}^{\rightarrow}$

Using A Final Coalgebra: No junk

Some categories have the property that all regular epimorphisms split.

Example Set (Axiom of Choice)

Example Set^{*I*} for any set *I*

Non-example Set^{*→*}

Let \mathcal{C} have this property. Again, let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be a final coalgebra.

Using A Final Coalgebra: No junk

Some categories have the property that all regular epimorphisms split.

Example Set (Axiom of Choice)

Example Set^{*I*} for any set *I*

Non-example Set^{*→*}

Let \mathcal{C} have this property. Again, let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be a final coalgebra.

No junk theorem, formal version

There is $X \xrightarrow{\xi} FX$ such that the anamorphism $\Delta(X, \xi) \xrightarrow{a} A$ is just id_X .

No junk theorem, informal version

Every element of A is the anamorphic image of some node of an ordinary transition system.

Quotienting By Similarity

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be an H -coalgebra.

Suppose there is a greatest simulation \lesssim from A to A .

Let's take the quotient $Q(X, \lesssim)$.

Quotienting By Similarity

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be an H -coalgebra.

Suppose there is a greatest simulation \lesssim from A to A .

Let's take the quotient $Q(X, \lesssim)$.

We want a coalgebra $QA = (Q(X, \lesssim), \xi)$ such that $A \xrightarrow{\rho(X, \lesssim)} QA$ is a coalgebra morphism.

Fortunately there is a unique such ξ .

Quotienting By Similarity

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be an H -coalgebra.

Suppose there is a greatest simulation \lesssim from A to A .

Let's take the quotient $Q(X, \lesssim)$.

We want a coalgebra $QA = (Q(X, \lesssim), \xi)$ such that $A \xrightarrow{\rho(X, \lesssim)} QA$ is a coalgebra morphism.

Fortunately there is a unique such ξ .

And QA is a sub-final H -coalgebra.

Safe morphisms

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ and $B = (Y, (\leq'), \zeta')$ be H -coalgebras.

Safe morphisms

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ and $B = (Y, (\leq'), \zeta')$ be H -coalgebras.

A **safe morphism** $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is a function $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ such that

Safe morphisms

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ and $B = (Y, (\leq'), \zeta')$ be H -coalgebras.

A **safe morphism** $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is a function $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ such that

Informal definition

every node of a is mapped to a node that is mutually similar to it.

Formal definition

$$(\equiv)_X \subseteq (X \times f)^{-1}(\lesssim_{A,B})$$

$$(\equiv)_X \subseteq (f \times X)^{-1}(\lesssim_{B,A})$$

H -coalgebra morphisms—and in particular F -coalgebra morphisms—are always safe.

Safe morphisms

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ and $B = (Y, (\leq'), \zeta')$ be H -coalgebras.

A **safe morphism** $A \xrightarrow{f} B$ is a function $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ such that

Informal definition

every node of a is mapped to a node that is mutually similar to it.

Formal definition

$$(\equiv)_X \subseteq (X \times f)^{-1}(\lesssim_{A,B})$$

$$(\equiv)_X \subseteq (f \times X)^{-1}(\lesssim_{B,A})$$

H -coalgebra morphisms—and in particular F -coalgebra morphisms—are always safe.

Constructing A Final Coalgebra

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be an H -coalgebra which is “big enough”:

Constructing A Final Coalgebra

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be an H -coalgebra which is “big enough”:
weakly final in the category of H -coalgebras and safe morphisms.

Constructing A Final Coalgebra

Let $A = (X, (\leq), \zeta)$ be an H -coalgebra which is “big enough”:
weakly final in the category of H -coalgebras and safe morphisms.
Then QA is final.

Constructing A Final Coalgebra from a Transition System

Suppose regular epimorphisms split in \mathcal{C} .

Constructing A Final Coalgebra from a Transition System

Suppose regular epimorphisms split in \mathcal{C} .

Let $A = (X, \zeta)$ be an F -coalgebra which is “big enough”:

Constructing A Final Coalgebra from a Transition System

Suppose regular epimorphisms split in \mathcal{C} .

Let $A = (X, \zeta)$ be an F -coalgebra which is “big enough”:

weakly final in the category of F -coalgebras and safe morphisms.

Constructing A Final Coalgebra from a Transition System

Suppose regular epimorphisms split in \mathcal{C} .

Let $A = (X, \zeta)$ be an F -coalgebra which is “big enough”:

weakly final in the category of F -coalgebras and safe morphisms.

Then $Q\Delta A$ is a final H -coalgebra.

We have a general theory giving various branching-time relations in terms of final coalgebras, and vice versa.

We have a general theory giving various branching-time relations in terms of final coalgebras, and vice versa.

This covers all our examples of endofunctors on **Poset**, **TwoPoset**, **NestPoset**.

We have a general theory giving various branching-time relations in terms of final coalgebras, and vice versa.

This covers all our examples of endofunctors on **Poset**, **TwoPoset**, **NestPoset**.

To incorporate the examples of endofunctors on **Set**, we add an extra parameter to the theory.

Conclusions

We have a general theory giving various branching-time relations in terms of final coalgebras, and vice versa.

This covers all our examples of endofunctors on **Poset**, **TwoPoset**, **NestPoset**.

To incorporate the examples of endofunctors on **Set**, we add an extra parameter to the theory.

New notion of relational extension that includes 2-nested similarity.

Question

Metric spaces as an example?