

# Corecursion up-to via Causal Transformations

Damien Pous<sup>1</sup>   Jurriaan Rot<sup>2</sup>   Ruben Turkenburg<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Plume, LIP, CNRS, ENS de Lyon, Université de Lyon, UMR 5668, France

<sup>2</sup>Radboud University, Nijmegen, Netherlands

CMCS, April 2022

# Outline

Introduction

Valid Corecursion up-to from a Causal Transformation

Compositionality

Coinduction up-to

Conclusion

## Corecursion & Coinduction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ c \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\ BX & \xrightarrow{Bf} & BZ \end{array} \quad (1)$$

- Using finality for definitions and proofs

## Corecursion & Coinduction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Z \\ c \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\ BX & \xrightarrow{Bf} & BZ \end{array} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Using finality for definitions and proofs
- ▶ When does this work? Always, by definition of finality

## Pointwise addition of streams

- ▶ Streams: represented by coalgebras for the functor  $A \times (-)$
- ▶ Final coalgebra:  $A^\omega$
- ▶ Defining pointwise addition of real streams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{R}^\omega \times \mathbb{R}^\omega & \xrightarrow{\oplus} & \mathbb{R}^\omega \\
 \langle p, r \rangle \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle h, t \rangle \\
 \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\omega \times \mathbb{R}^\omega & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \times \oplus} & \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^\omega
 \end{array} \tag{2}$$

$$p(x, y) = h(x) + h(y) \quad (x \oplus y)_0 = x_0 + y_0 \tag{3}$$

$$r(x, y) = (t(x), t(y)) \quad (x \oplus y)' = x' \oplus y' \tag{4}$$

## Shuffle product of streams

- ▶ Given by the equations:

$$(x \otimes y)_0 = x_0 \times y_0 \tag{5}$$

$$(x \otimes y)' = (x \otimes y') \oplus (x' \otimes y) \tag{6}$$

- ▶ Not a coalgebra for  $\mathbb{R} \times (-)$ ! Applying  $\oplus$  to streams not yet defined
- ▶ But there is a unique solution in  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$
- ▶ How do we find it? Up-to techniques!

## Corecursion up-to an algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f^a} & Z \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf^a} BFZ \xrightarrow{Ba} & BZ
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 FZ \\
 \downarrow a \\
 Z
 \end{array}
 \quad (7)$$

- Valid corecursion up-to  $a$ : for all  $(X, f)$ , unique  $f^a$  giving commutativity.

## Corecursion up-to an algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f^a} & Z \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf^a} BFZ \xrightarrow{Ba} & BZ
 \end{array}
 \quad
 \begin{array}{c}
 FZ \\
 \downarrow a \\
 Z
 \end{array}
 \quad (7)$$

- ▶ Valid corecursion up-to  $a$ : for all  $(X, f)$ , unique  $f^a$  giving commutativity.
- ▶  $F = \text{id}$  and  $a = \text{id}$ : plain corecursion



## Corecursion up-to an algebra

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f^a} & Z & & FZ \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta & & \downarrow a \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf^a} & BFZ & \xrightarrow{Ba} & BZ & & Z
 \end{array} \quad (7)$$

- ▶ Valid corecursion up-to  $a$ : for all  $(X, f)$ , unique  $f^a$  giving commutativity.
- ▶  $F = \text{id}$  and  $a = \text{id}$ : plain corecursion
- ▶ Shuffle product:  $B = \mathbb{R} \times (-)$  and  $F = (-)^2$ 
  - ▶  $f(x, y) = (x_0 \times y_0, ((x, y'), (x', y)))$
  - ▶  $a = \oplus$

## When do we have validity?

- ▶ Two existing sufficient conditions:
  1.  $a$  is induced by a **distributive law**  $\lambda: FB \Rightarrow BF$  and the base category has countable coproducts (or  $F$  is a monad and  $\lambda$  a distributive law of this monad over the functor  $B$ );
  2.  $B$  is a polynomial set functor, and  $a: FB_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  is a **causal  $F$ -algebra**.
    - ▶ Causality for streams: require at most  $n$  elements of the input to produce  $n$  elements of the output

## When do we have validity?

- ▶ Two existing sufficient conditions:
  1.  $a$  is induced by a **distributive law**  $\lambda: FB \Rightarrow BF$  and the base category has countable coproducts (or  $F$  is a monad and  $\lambda$  a distributive law of this monad over the functor  $B$ );
  2.  $B$  is a polynomial set functor, and  $a: FB_\omega \rightarrow B_\omega$  is a **causal  $F$ -algebra**.
    - ▶ Causality for streams: require at most  $n$  elements of the input to produce  $n$  elements of the output
- ▶ In our paper:
  3. Existence of a **causal transformation**  $\alpha: F\bar{B} \Rightarrow \bar{B}$  for which  $a$  is the  $\kappa$ -th component  $\alpha_\kappa$ .

## Delaying Trees

- ▶ Finite powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}_f$ , final coalgebra  $(\mathcal{T}_f, c)$ : finitely branching strongly extensional trees,  $c$  gives set of children

## Delaying Trees

- ▶ Finite powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}_f$ , final coalgebra  $(\mathcal{T}_f, c)$ : finitely branching strongly extensional trees,  $c$  gives set of children
- ▶ Delay function  $d$  adds unary node to top of tree

## Delaying Trees

- ▶ Finite powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}_f$ , final coalgebra  $(\mathcal{T}_f, c)$ : finitely branching strongly extensional trees,  $c$  gives set of children
- ▶ Delay function  $d$  adds unary node to top of tree
- ▶ Define the function  $e$  delaying all nodes of a (possibly infinite depth) tree

$$c(e(t)) = \mathcal{P}_f(d \circ e)(c(t))$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{T}_f & \xrightarrow{e} & \mathcal{T}_f \\
 c \downarrow & & \downarrow c \\
 \mathcal{P}_f \mathcal{T}_f & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_f e} \mathcal{P}_f \mathcal{T}_f \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_f d} & \mathcal{P}_f \mathcal{T}_f
 \end{array}
 \quad
 d \left( \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \\ | \quad | \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ | \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \\ | \quad | \end{array}
 \quad
 e \left( \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \\ | \quad | \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\ / \quad \backslash \\ \cdot \quad \cdot \\ | \quad | \end{array}$$

## Some Equivalences

- ▶ Generally: Distributive law  $\implies$  Causal transformation

## Some Equivalences

- ▶ Generally: Distributive law  $\implies$  Causal transformation
- ▶ If  $B$  has a **companion**: Causal transformation  $\implies$  Distributive law



## Some Equivalences

- ▶ Generally: Distributive law  $\implies$  Causal transformation
- ▶ If  $B$  has a **companion**: Causal transformation  $\implies$  Distributive law
- ▶  $B$  an  $\omega$ -continuous set functor: Causal algebra  $\iff$  Causal transformation

## Some Equivalences

- ▶ Generally: Distributive law  $\implies$  Causal transformation
- ▶ If  $B$  has a **companion**: Causal transformation  $\implies$  Distributive law
- ▶  $B$  an  $\omega$ -continuous set functor: Causal algebra  $\iff$  Causal transformation
- ▶ Polynomial set functors: Distributive law  $\iff$  Causal algebra  $\iff$  Causal transformation

# Outline

Introduction

Valid Corecursion up-to from a Causal Transformation

Compositionality

Coinduction up-to

Conclusion

## Corecursive Algebras

- Unique  $f'$  giving commutativity of:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f'} & A \\ f \downarrow & & \uparrow a \\ BX & \xrightarrow{Bf'} & BA \end{array}$$

## Corecursive Algebras

- ▶ Unique  $f'$  giving commutativity of:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f'} & A \\ f \downarrow & & \uparrow a \\ BX & \xrightarrow{Bf'} & BA \end{array}$$

- ▶ Each connecting map in final sequence forms part of an algebra

## The main result

- ▶ At each stage of the final sequence of  $B$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f_k^\alpha} & \overline{B}(k) \\
 \downarrow f & & \uparrow \overline{B}(k+1, k) \\
 BF X & \xrightarrow{BF f_k^\alpha} BF \overline{B}(k) \xrightarrow{B \alpha_k} & B \overline{B}(k)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ The  $BF$ -algebra  $\overline{B}(k+1, k) \circ B \alpha_k$  is corecursive
- ▶ For  $F = \text{id}$  and  $\alpha = \text{id}$ , this recovers an observation due to (we believe) Paul Levy

## The main result

- ▶ At each stage of the final sequence of  $B$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f_k^\alpha} & \overline{B}(k) \\
 \downarrow f & & \uparrow \overline{B}(k+1, k) \\
 BF X & \xrightarrow{BF f_k^\alpha} BF \overline{B}(k) \xrightarrow{B \alpha_k} & B \overline{B}(k)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ The  $BF$ -algebra  $\overline{B}(k+1, k) \circ B \alpha_k$  is corecursive
- ▶ For  $F = \text{id}$  and  $\alpha = \text{id}$ , this recovers an observation due to (we believe) Paul Levy
- ▶ Corecursion up-to even without a final coalgebra!
- ▶ With a final coalgebra: valid corecursion up-to  $a$  as before

## Proof sketch

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f_k^\alpha} & \bar{B}(k) \\
 f \downarrow & & \uparrow \bar{B}(k+1, k) \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf_k^\alpha} BF\bar{B}(k) \xrightarrow{B\alpha_k} & B\bar{B}(k)
 \end{array}$$

- Transfinite induction on  $k$



## Proof sketch

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f_k^\alpha} & \bar{B}(k) \\
 f \downarrow & & \uparrow \bar{B}(k+1, k) \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf_k^\alpha} BF\bar{B}(k) \xrightarrow{B\alpha_k} & B\bar{B}(k)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Transfinite induction on  $k$
- ▶ Base case is unique map into 1

## Proof sketch

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f_k^\alpha} & \bar{B}(k) \\
 f \downarrow & & \uparrow \bar{B}(k+1,k) \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf_k^\alpha} & BF\bar{B}(k) \xrightarrow{B\alpha_k} & B\bar{B}(k)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Transfinite induction on  $k$
- ▶ Base case is unique map into 1
- ▶ Successor:  $f_{j+1}^\alpha \triangleq B\alpha_j \circ BFf_j^\alpha \circ f$

## Proof sketch

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f_k^\alpha} & \overline{B}(k) \\
 f \downarrow & & \uparrow \overline{B}(k+1,k) \\
 BFX & \xrightarrow{BFf_k^\alpha} BF\overline{B}(k) \xrightarrow{B\alpha_k} & B\overline{B}(k)
 \end{array}$$

- ▶ Transfinite induction on  $k$
- ▶ Base case is unique map into 1
- ▶ Successor:  $f_{j+1}^\alpha \triangleq B\alpha_j \circ BFf_j^\alpha \circ f$
- ▶ Limit: Show that maps  $f_j^\alpha: X \rightarrow \overline{B}(j)$  for  $j < k$  form a cone

## Delaying more than just finite-branching trees

- ▶ Delay algebra  $d$  as before
- ▶ Full powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}$ : no final coalgebra!

## Delaying more than just finite-branching trees

- ▶ Delay algebra  $d$  as before
- ▶ Full powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}$ : no final coalgebra!
- ▶ Function  $e$  defined on compactly-branching trees

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) & \xrightarrow{e} & \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) \\
 \downarrow c & & \uparrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega+1, \omega) \\
 \mathcal{P}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}e} \mathcal{P}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}d} & \mathcal{P}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega)
 \end{array} \tag{8}$$

## Delaying more than just finite-branching trees

- ▶ Delay algebra  $d$  as before
- ▶ Full powerset functor  $\mathcal{P}$ : no final coalgebra!
- ▶ Function  $e$  defined on compactly-branching trees

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) & \xrightarrow{e} & \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) \\
 \downarrow c & & \uparrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega+1, \omega) \\
 \mathcal{P}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}e} \mathcal{P}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}d} & \mathcal{P}\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\omega)
 \end{array} \tag{8}$$

- ▶ Weaker than earlier definition

## Bartels (2003)

- ▶ We recover:

### Corollary

For  $\lambda: FB \Rightarrow BF$  a distributive law, if  $\overline{B}$  stabilizes at  $\kappa$ , then corecursion up-to the algebra induced by  $\lambda$  on  $\overline{B}(\kappa)$  is valid.

## Bartels (2003)

- ▶ We recover:

### Corollary

For  $\lambda: FB \Rightarrow BF$  a distributive law, if  $\bar{B}$  stabilizes at  $\kappa$ , then corecursion up-to the algebra induced by  $\lambda$  on  $\bar{B}(\kappa)$  is valid.

- ▶ Compare:

### Theorem (Bartels)

For  $\lambda: FB \Rightarrow BF$  a distributive law, if either

- ▶ the category  $\mathcal{C}$  has countable coproducts, or
- ▶  $F$  is a monad and  $\lambda$  is a distributive law of  $F$

then corecursion up-to the algebra induced by  $\lambda$  on the final coalgebra of  $B$  is valid.



# Outline

Introduction

Valid Corecursion up-to from a Causal Transformation

**Compositionality**

Coinduction up-to

Conclusion

## The category $\mathcal{K}$

### Definition

For functors  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $A: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $B: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , a **causal transformation from  $A$  to  $B$**  is a natural transformation  $\alpha: F\bar{A} \Rightarrow \bar{B}$ .

- ▶ Note:  $A$  and  $B$  may now be different!

## The category $\mathcal{K}$

### Definition

For functors  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ ,  $A: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and  $B: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , a **causal transformation from  $A$  to  $B$**  is a natural transformation  $\alpha: F\bar{A} \Rightarrow \bar{B}$ .

- ▶ Note:  $A$  and  $B$  may now be different!

### Theorem

We have a category  $\mathcal{K}$  with the following data:

- ▶ objects are pairs  $(A, \mathcal{C})$  with  $A: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  a functor on a complete category  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- ▶ morphisms from  $(A, \mathcal{C})$  to  $(B, \mathcal{D})$  are pairs  $(F, \alpha)$  with  $\alpha: F\bar{A} \Rightarrow \bar{B}$ .

## Some constructions on $\mathcal{K}$

- ▶ Operations and basic building blocks, already known for distributive laws [Bonchi et al., 2016]

## Some constructions on $\mathcal{K}$

- ▶ Operations and basic building blocks, already known for distributive laws [Bonchi et al., 2016]

### Theorem

The category  $\mathcal{K}$  has all products.

## Some constructions on $\mathcal{K}$

- ▶ Operations and basic building blocks, already known for distributive laws [Bonchi et al., 2016]

### Theorem

The category  $\mathcal{K}$  has all products.

- ▶ We have morphisms for constant and coproduct functors

### Example

Corecursion up-to for unary operation  $f$  on streams:

$$f(x)_0 = x_0 \quad f(x)' = (x \oplus f(x')) \oplus f(x'') \quad (9)$$

Take functor  $F(F(\mathbb{R}^\omega + \text{Id}) + \text{Id})$  and build algebra

$\oplus \circ [\oplus \circ [\text{id}; \text{id}]; \text{id}]$  on  $\mathbb{R}^\omega$

## The 2-category $\mathcal{K}$

### Definition

Given two causal transformations  $(F, \alpha), (G, \beta): A \dot{\rightarrow} B$ , an arrow from  $(F, \alpha)$  to  $(G, \beta)$  is a natural transformation  $\kappa: F \Rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta \circ \kappa \bar{A} = \alpha$ . These arrows turn  $\mathcal{K}$  into a 2-category.

## The 2-category $\mathcal{K}$

### Definition

Given two causal transformations  $(F, \alpha), (G, \beta): A \dot{\rightarrow} B$ , an arrow from  $(F, \alpha)$  to  $(G, \beta)$  is a natural transformation  $\kappa: F \Rightarrow G$  such that  $\beta \circ \kappa \bar{A} = \alpha$ . These arrows turn  $\mathcal{K}$  into a 2-category.

- ▶ Constructions distributive laws  $\leftrightarrow$  causal transformations as a 2-functor?



# Outline

Introduction

Valid Corecursion up-to from a Causal Transformation

Compositionality

**Coinduction up-to**

Conclusion

## Proofs

- ▶ Already known [Bonchi et al., 2014]:
  - ▶ Contextual closure is **compatible**, gives valid up-to technique
  - ▶ Contextual closure commutes with lifting of coalgebra functor

## Proofs

- ▶ Already known [Bonchi et al., 2014]:
  - ▶ Contextual closure is **compatible**, gives valid up-to technique
  - ▶ Contextual closure commutes with lifting of coalgebra functor
- ▶ Here:
  - ▶ Instantiating results to lattices gives causal transformations known from other recent work
  - ▶ Causal transformation for contextual closure
  - ▶ Apply earlier results in the fibrational setting where fibres are complete lattices: coinduction up-to
  - ▶ Requirements:  $(B, \mathbb{B})$  fibration map and  $\alpha: F\bar{B} \Rightarrow \bar{B}$  lifts to  $F\bar{\mathbb{B}} \Rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{B}}$

## Fibration map

- ▶ Fibration map requirement in relation lifting case: needs weak pullback preservation by  $B$
- ▶  $F = \text{Id}, \alpha = \text{id}, \mathbb{F}(R) =$  least equivalence relation containing  $R$

$$B(X) = \{(x, y, z) \mid |\{x, y, z\}| \leq 2\} \quad (10)$$

- ▶  $\alpha$  lifts but up-to-equivalence is not sound for  $B$
- ▶ Consequence: not all causal transformations definable by a distributive law

# Outline

Introduction

Valid Corecursion up-to from a Causal Transformation

Compositionality

Coinduction up-to

Conclusion

## Conclusion

- ▶ Causal transformations give a nice foundation for corecursion/coinduction up-to (although not constructive)

## Conclusion

- ▶ Causal transformations give a nice foundation for corecursion/coinduction up-to (although not constructive)
- ▶ More general than causal algebras:  $\omega$ -continuity not required

## Conclusion

- ▶ Causal transformations give a nice foundation for corecursion/coinduction up-to (although not constructive)
- ▶ More general than causal algebras:  $\omega$ -continuity not required
- ▶ Some causal transformations not definable by a distributive law



## Conclusion

- ▶ Causal transformations give a nice foundation for corecursion/coinduction up-to (although not constructive)
- ▶ More general than causal algebras:  $\omega$ -continuity not required
- ▶ Some causal transformations not definable by a distributive law
- ▶ A form of corecursion up-to for functors without a final coalgebra

## Conclusion

- ▶ Causal transformations give a nice foundation for corecursion/coinduction up-to (although not constructive)
- ▶ More general than causal algebras:  $\omega$ -continuity not required
- ▶ Some causal transformations not definable by a distributive law
- ▶ A form of corecursion up-to for functors without a final coalgebra
- ▶ Desirable properties enjoyed by distributive laws still hold:
  - ▶ Compositionality
  - ▶ Application to lattices give up-to techniques for coinductive proofs

